



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/336,424	06/17/1999	DAVID T. SULCER	04020.P001	7619
29855 75	90 12/15/2003		EXAMINER	
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI,			NGUYEN, HAI V	
P.C. 20333 SH 249			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600	ur ##0#0		2142	רו
HOUSTON, T	X 7/0/0		DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	, ()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 2 			PRE
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/336,424	SULCER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai V. Nguyen	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2003 FAT Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may on condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed NEXAMINATION (RCE) in compliance with 37 feet.	s required to avoid abandonment of this a ly be either: (1) a timely filed amendmer lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper re nt which places the appli	ply to a cation in
<u>PE</u> F	RIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)	İ	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths			
event, however, will the statutory period for re	ng date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for eply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (date of the final rejection.	
	te of the shortened statutory period for reply originally	t of the fee. The appropriate ex set in the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
	Appellant's Brief must be filed within hereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismi		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not	t be entered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would	d require further consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matt	ter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or	e application in better form for appeal by	y materially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims	without canceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected clain	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the	following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s canceling the non-allowable claim(s	s) would be allowable if submitted).	in a separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or capplication in condition for allowance)⊠ request for reconsideration has beer e because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	considered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be or raised by the Examiner in the final r	considered because it is not directed SOI ejection.	LELY to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the propose explanation of how the new or amer	ed amendment(s) a) will not be entered and claims would be rejected is provide		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will t	pe) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-52			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

AHW

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants' arguments (paper # 15) are NOT persuasive. In the Final rejection Office Action (paper # 14), the Examiner has replied to the Applicants' arguments clearly in section "Response to Arguments" on pages 6-11.

JACK B. HARVEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER